

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,169	06/14/2005	Frank Brady	PZ02108	9282
36335 7590 02/02/2009 GE HEALTHCARE, INC.			EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTM	ENT	PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN		
101 CARNEG PRINCETON	IE CENTER NJ 08540-6231		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10 000 10 0201		1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/539,169	BRADY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MELISSA PERREIRA	1618

earned patent term adjustment. S	See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
----------------------------------	----------------------

	MELISSA PERREIRA	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO profiled or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by standard period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set of the	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14. Ju</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This     Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
  6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,169 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 are drawn to a process for the production of an <sup>18</sup>F-labelled tracer.

Group II, claim(s) 9-13 are drawn to a compound of formula (I), (Ia), (Ib), (III) or a

kit/cartridge for the preparation of an <sup>18</sup>F-labelled tracer.

Group III, claim(s) 14 is drawn to a method for obtaining a diagnostic PET image.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 3. The kits of group II can be used with multiple/different compounds, such as those of group I formula (I), (Ia), (Ib), (III). The groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept as there are multiple/different solid support-bound precursors (species) of group I (the process for the production of an <sup>18</sup>F-labelled tracer) which can be used.
- 4. The method for obtaining a diagnostic PET image of group III uses the kit/cartridge comprising the multiple/different compounds of formula (I), (Ia) or (Ib). Therefore the groups III and I/II do not relate to a single general inventive concept.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

The species are as follows:

If group I is chosen:

a.) X-SO<sub>2</sub>O, I<sup>+</sup>, Sn-R<sup>11</sup>R<sup>12</sup>

b.) 18F source- 18F2, 18F-CH3COOF or 18F-OF2

If group II is chosen:

a.) compound of formula (I) or (III)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-8 correspond to the species

a.) X- SO<sub>2</sub>O, I $^+$ , Sn-R $^{11}$ R $^{12}$  b.)  $^{18}$ F source-  $^{18}$ F $_2$ ,  $^{18}$ F-CH $_3$ COOF or  $^{18}$ F-OF $_2$ 

Claims 9-13 correspond to the species

a.) compound of formula (I) or (III)

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,169

Art Unit: 1618

7. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- The different X moieties of group I generate different solid support-bound precursors with different reactivities and properties.
- The different <sup>18</sup>F sources will react differently with each solid support-bound precursors as they have different reactivities, properties, etc.
- The compounds of formula (I) and (III) are different and have different reactivities, properties, etc.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,169

Art Unit: 1618

12. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,169

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA PERREIRA whose telephone number is (571)272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Melissa Perreira/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618